A redescription of a rare axiid shrimp, *Amakusaxius amakusanus* (Miyake & Sakai, 1967) (Crustacea: Decapoda: Axiidea) from new material collected off Taiwan
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Abstract

Miyake & Sakai (1967) described a new species of axiid shrimp, *Calocaris (Calastacus) amakusana*, from a single female specimen lacking a major cheliped. Later, Sakai & de Saint Laurent, 1989 transferred this species to their new genus *Acanthaxius*. However, Sakai (2011) recently established a new monotypic genus *Amakusaxius* to accommodate *Acanthaxius amakusana*. Since the original description no additional specimen of this rare species has been reported and consequently an appraisal of *A. amakusanus* (Miyake & Sakai, 1967) has not been possible. Now six specimens including three males and three ovigerous females have been collected from Taiwan. This has enabled the diagnostic characters of the species to be fully evaluated. The presence of a postcervical median carina on the carapace, weak armature on the major cheliped, and the morphology of the uropod are characters that distinguish *Amakusaxius* from *Acanthaxius*. Consequently the monotypic genus *Amakusaxius* Sakai, 2011 is retained.
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Introduction

Miyake & Sakai (1967) described a new axiid shrimp species, *Calocaris (Calastacus) amakusana*, on the basis of a single female specimen, lacking a major cheliped, from off Tomioka, Amakusa Islands, Kyushu, Japan. They argued that generic assignment of this species was difficult. In their reappraisal of axiid genera, Sakai & de Saint Laurent (1989) transferred this species to their new genus *Acanthaxius* [type species: *Axiopsis (Axiopsis) pilocheira* Sakai, 1987] together with another six species previously assigned to various genera. Recently, Sakai (2011) established a new monotypic genus *Amakusaxius* to accommodate *Acanthaxius*. Since the original description, no additional specimen of this species has been reported, and consequently, no information on the male morphology, which provides important diagnostic characters at genus level, was available.

During the course of a taxonomic study of Axiidae from Taiwan, six specimens (including three males and three ovigerous females, all from Penghu Islands) were obtained that match the original description of *A. amakusaxius*. Comparison with the female holotype confirmed that the specimens from Taiwan belong to the same species. In this study, *A. amakusanus* is fully redescribed and the diagnostic characters of the genus are evaluated.

Material used in this study is deposited in the Kitakyushu Museum of Natural History and Human History (KMNH), Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba (CBM), National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium, Pingtung, Taiwan (NMMBA) and the National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung (NTOU). The carapace length (cl) is measured dorsally from the level of the orbital margin to the midpoint of the posterodorsal margin of the carapace.
For comparison, the following material was examined:

_Acanthaxius formosa_ Kensley & Chan, 1998. Non-type: 1 male (cl 16.3 mm), 1 female (cl 18.4 mm), Donggang fishing port, Pingtung County, southwestern Taiwan, depth unknown, 5 August 1996, commercial trawler, coll. T. Komai, CBM-ZC 2835; 6 males (cl 11.8–13.5 mm), 1 female (cl 13.1 mm), same locality, depth unknown, 10 September 2012, NMMBA.

**Remarks.** Sakai (2011) synonymized _Acanthaxius formosa_ under _A. miyazakiensis_ (Yokoya, 1933), originally described from Japan, together with _A. polyacantha_ (Miyake & Sakai, 1967) also from Japan. However, his arguments are unfortunately not convincing, because he only commented upon similarities among the three taxa. Differentiating characters between _A. formosa_ and _A. polyacantha_ as proposed by Kensley & Chan (1998), seem to be valid as far as comparing the present specimens with the original description of _A. polyacantha_ and the subsequent account based on a single specimen from the Solomon Islands (Ngoc-Ho 2006). _Acanthaxius miyazakiensis_ differs from _A. formosa_ and _A. polyacantha_ in the absence of a covering of granules or spinules on the carapace (see Yokoya 1933; Sakai 2011). Consequently, _A. formosa_ and _A. polyacantha_ are recognized as valid species and not synonyms of _A. miyazakiensis_.

_Acanthaxius grandis_ Kensley & Chan, 1998. Holotype: male (cl 33.5 mm), Donggang fishing port, Pingtung Country, southwestern Taiwan, about 400 m, 5 August 1996, commercial trawler, NTOU-H-1996-8-5. Non-type: 1 female (cl 40.8 mm), Dasi fishing port, Yilan County, northeastern Taiwan, depth unknown, 18 August 2005, commercial trawler, NTOU; 1 male (cl 28.4 mm), Donggang fishing port, depth unknown, 1 February 2012, commercial trawler, NTOU.

**Remarks.** Sakai (2011) synonymized _Acanthaxius grandis_ under _A. pilocheira_ Sakai, 1987, but again his arguments are not convincing, because he cited only similarities between the two taxa. During this study, the holotype of _A. grandis_ and two specimens recently collected from Taiwan were examined. Comparison with the type description of _A. pilocheira_ by Sakai (1987) confirmed the existence of the following morphological differences of possible specific significance: the fifth abdominal pleuron is posteriorly rectangular in the Taiwanese specimens (even in female), rather than rounded in the holotype of _A. pilocheira_; dorsal and ventral spines on chelae are distinctly less prominent in the Taiwanese specimens than in the holotype of _A. pilocheira_; fixed fingers of the chelipeds are both furnished with a median carina, bearing fine tubercles or spinules, in the Taiwanese specimens, while such a carina is not seen in the holotype of _A. pilocheira_; the number of dorsal dactylar spines are more numerous in the Taiwanese specimens than in the holotype of _A. pilocheira_ (11 or 12 versus eight). Furthermore, although Sakai (1987) indicated that the number of lateral spines on the uropodal exopod is 8 to 10, his figure (Sakai 1987: fig. 1d) clearly shows the presence of 12 lateral marginal spines on the left uropodal exopod. In the present Taiwanese specimens, there are 6 to 9 spines. Considering these morphological differences, _A. grandis_ is reinstated as a valid species for the time being. Sakai (2011) also synonymized _A. gathaagudu_ Poore & Collins, 2009, described from Western Australia, under _A. pilocheira_, but differentiating characters discussed by Poore & Collins (2009) seem to warrant the recognition of _A. gathaagudu_ being distinct from _A. pilocheira_.

**Taxonomic account**

**Genus Amakusaxius Sakai, 2011**

_Acanthaxius_ Sakai & de Saint Laurent, 1989: 66 (part).

_Amakusaxius_ Sakai, 2011: 44.

**Type species.** Calocaris (Calastacus) amakusana Miyake & Sakai, 1967. Original designation.

**Diagnosis.** Rostrum somewhat flattened dorsoventrally, triangular, laterally denticulate, shorter than eyestalks, not depressed below level of carapace, not continuous with lateral carinae. Carapace dorsally granulate; cervical groove deep, visible laterally over half distance to anterolateral margin; supraocular spines prominent; lateral carina divided in two parts, each terminating anteriorly in spine; submedian carina present, spinose; median carina tuberculate or denticulate; low, blunt postcervical carina present. First abdominal pleuron blunt or subacute; second pleuron broad, rounded; third to fifth pleura posteriorly rounded. Telson with lateral fixed spines and posterolateral
movable spines; posterior margin slightly convex, with small median spine. Eyestalk cylindrical, articulating; cornea darkly pigmented. Antennal scaphocerite short, curved; dorsolateral distal spine on second segment of antennal peduncle curved inward. Third maxilliped exopod not clearly bent at base of flagellum. Pleurobranchs absent; podobranchs and arthrobranchs well developed, but former without lamella; epipods present on second maxilliped to fourth pereiopod. Chelipeds asymmetrical, with palm somewhat compressed laterally; merus unarmed on ventral margin in major cheliped, armed with row of spines in minor cheliped; dorsal margin of carpus to dactylus of major cheliped only with small spines, that of minor cheliped with prominent spines. Propodi of third and fourth pereiopods with transverse rows of corneous spines; dactyli tapering, with longitudinal row of corneous spines. First pleopod of male absent. Male second pleopod with appendix masculina; second to fifth pleopods each with appendix interna. Uropodal exopod and endopod narrow, with transverse suture on exopod; exopod with middorsal carina terminating posteriorly in strong marginal spine, terminal flap located lateral to this spine.

Composition. Monotypic.

Remarks. Sakai & de Saint Laurent (1989) assigned the following eight species to their new genus Acanthaxius: Axius spinulicaudus Rathbun, 1902; Axius spinosissimus Rathbun, 1906; Axius miyazakiensis; Calocaris (Calastacus) amakusana; Calocaris (Calastacus) hirsutimana Boesch & Smalley, 1972; Axiopsis (Axiopsis) polyacantha; Axiopsis (Axiopsis) caespitosa Squires, 1979; and Axiopsis (Axiopsis) pilocheira (type species). Of the eight species, A. spinulicaudus was reassigned to Calocarides by Kensley (1996a). Since the original description, the following nine species have been added to Acanthaxius: A. polychaetes Sakai, 1994; A. kirkmilleri Kensley, 1996b; A. formosa; A. grandis; A. clevai Ngoc-Ho, 2006; A. gadaletae Ngoc-Ho, 2006; A. garawa Poore & Collins, 2009; A. gathaagudu; A. ningaloo Poore & Collins, 2009 (Sakai 1994; Kensley 1996b; Kensley & Chan 1998; Ngoc-Ho 2006; Poore & Collins 2009).

Recently, Sakai (2011) reviewed Acanthaxius, and restricted the following seven species to Acanthaxius: A. clevai, A. gadaletae, A. garawa; A. miyazakiensis (A. formosa and A. polyacantha were synonymized with A. miyazakiensis), A. ningaloo, A. pilocheira (A. grandis and A. gathaagudu were synonymized with A. pilocheira), and A. spinosissimus. Acanthaxius amakusana was reassigned to the new genus Amakusaxius; A. kirkmilleri was transferred to the new genus Pillsburyaxius Sakai, 2011; and A. polychaetes was transferred to the new genus Bruceaxius Sakai, 2011.

Sakai (2011) distinguished Amakusaxius from Acanthaxius only by the presence of a postcervical median carina on the carapace in the former. The significance of the presence or absence of the postcervical carina alone is questionable, because interspecific variation is known in Ambiaxius (see Sakai 1995, Sakai & Ohta 2005, Komai et al. 2010). The present newly collected specimens enable the examination of more characters of possible diagnostic significance. Indeed, Calocaris (Calastacus) amakusana is different from other species currently assigned to Acanthaxius in the following characters. Ventral spines of the merus and dorsal spines of the palm of the major cheliped are fairly reduced in the size in C. (C.) amakusana, whereas they are quite prominent in species of Acanthaxius. The uropodal rami are relatively narrower in C. (C.) amakusana compared to species of Acanthaxius, because of the weakly developed mesial convexity in the former species. Furthermore, the mid-dorsal carina on the uropodal exopod is produced into a strong spine posteriorly in C. (C.) amakusana, whereas such a spine is not seen in species of Acanthaxius. Moreover, similarities to certain species of Acanthaxius are also seen. The triangular, somewhat flattened rostral links C. (C.) amakusana with A. garawa (cf. Poore & Collins 2009) and A. spinosissimus (cf. Ngoc-Ho 2005). The lateral gastric carina on the carapace is interrupted from the rostral lateral margin in C. (C.) amakusana, and similar condition is seen in A. grandis, A. gathaagudu and A. pilocheira (cf. Sakai 1987; Kensley & Chan 1998; Poore & Collins 2009). In this present study, the validity of Amakusaxius is tentatively accepted because of the existence of some unique features that differentiate the type species from Acanthaxius, but future phylogenetic studies may eventually reveal that this genus could be a synonym of Acanthaxius.

Amakusaxius amakusanus (Miyake & Sakai, 1967)
(Figs 1–6)
Calocaris (Calastacus) amakusana Miyake & Sakai, 1967: 306, fig. 2, pl. 4B.
Axiopsis (Axiopsis) amakusana.—Sakai 1987: 303 (list).

Amakusaxius amakusana.—Sakai 2011: 45.

Material examined. Holotype: female (cl 9.4 mm), off Tomioka, Amakusa Island, Kyushu, Japan, 20–40 m, 22–24 August 1956, coll. Sadayoshi Miyake, KMNH (formerly deposited at the Zoological Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, registration number 4580).

Non-type: 1 male (cl 15.5 mm), 1 ovigerous female (cl 13.6 mm), Penghu Islands, Taiwan, commercial trawlers, about 50 m, 31 March 2011, NTOU A01349; same locality, April 2011, 1 male (cl 15.2 mm), 1 ovigerous females (cl 15.6 mm), NTOU A01350; same data, 1 ovigerous female (cl 13.4 mm), CBM-ZC 11570; same locality, January 2013, 1 male (cl 12.0 mm), NTOU AO1351.

Redescription. Body moderately stout. Rostrum (Figs 1A, B; 2A, B) 0.2 times distance between rostral base and carapace groove or 0.1 times as long as carapace, moderately widely triangular with acute tip in dorsal view; lateral margin with 1 or 2 (usually 2) small subacute spines and supraocular spine, not continuous with distinct lateral gastric carina on carapace. Carapace (Figs 1A, B; 2A, B) fairly compressed laterally, sparsely granulate dorsally, nearly smooth or microscopically granulate laterally, with scattered short setae on dorsal surface; dorsal surface nearly straight, gastric region not sloping down to rostrum; gastric median carina distinct, extending beyond rostral base anteriorly and reaching cervical groove, with 6–9 small denticles or tubercles; submedian carinae divided into 4 short divisions, each terminating anteriorly in acute or subacute spine; lateral carinae divided into 2 unequal divisions, each terminating in acute to blunt spine; postcervical carina low, blunt; cervical groove deep, extending to pterygostomial region; suborbital margin broadly convex; pterygostomial margin broadly rounded.

Seventh thoracic sternite with shield (Fig. 1C) divided into two sections by distinct transverse ridge; anterior section triangular, slightly depressed below; posterior section deeply divided by deep median groove, each lateral angle produced in blunt tooth directed ventrally. Precoxal plate on eighth thoracic sternite (Fig. 1D) well calcified, divided into two unequal parts by oblique suture, bearing prominent, basally articulated process at anteroventral angle; posterior division of precoxal plate not expanded into prominent lobe.

First abdominal somite (Figs 1E; 2C) with tergum bearing subrectangular plateau defined by shallow groove; pleuron triangular with blunt to acute ventral apex, lateral surface with distinct longitudinal carina posteriorly. Second pleuron (Fig. 1E) asymmetrical; lateral surface shallowly depressed; ventral margin unarmored, rounded at either angle. Third to fifth pleura (Fig. 1E) shallowly depressed; third pleuron rounded, unarmored (females) or armed with minute spine at anteroventral angle (male); fourth and fifth pleura (Fig. 1E) each with minute spine at anteroventral angle (male and female holotype) or unarmored (ovigerous female), fourth pleuron bluntly angular, fifth pleuron subacutely (male) or bluntly (female) angular. Sixth pleuron (Fig. 1E) acutely pointed ventrally, with truncate posterolateral projection. Telson (Fig. 2D, E) subrectangular, approximately as long as broad; proximolateral part somewhat thickened, terminating in small marginal spine; lateral margin slightly converging posteriorly, bearing 1 or 2 small spines near posterolateral angle; posterior margin slightly convex, with small posteromedian spine, posterolateral area with 2 subequal, minute movable spines; dorsal face shallowly sulcate medially, submedian ridges each terminating posteriorly in small spine at anterior 0.4, dorsolateral ridges low, obsolete, each with 1 small spine located at about midlength of telson.

Eyestalk (Fig. 2A, B) subcylindrical, exceeding beyond rostral apex by length of cornea; cornea distinctly shorter than eyestalk, slightly inflated, darkly pigmented. Antennular peduncle (Fig. 2A, B) extending as far as antennal peduncle; statocyst lobe on first segment unarmed; flagella more than twice longer than carapace. Antennal peduncle (Figs 1F; 2B) with first segment bearing 1 prominent spine on ventrolateral distal angle and 1 or 2 spines on ventrodorsal projection; second segment with dorsolateral distal spine curved inward and overreaching distal margin of third segment; scaphocerite acuminate, gently curved, reaching midlength of fourth segment; third segment with 3 spines, increasing in size distally, on ventromesial margin; fourth and fifth segments stout, cylindrical, combined length subequal to second segment; flagellum missing.

Third maxilliped (Fig. 3A) moderately slender, overreaching antennal peduncle by length of distal two segments. Coxa with ventrolateral spine. Basis with 1 prominent spine ventrolaterally. Ischium with sharp carina on lateral surface dorsally; crista dentata well developed, bearing about 15 slender spines (proximal spines noticeably decreasing in size proximally), distal prolongation curved mesially, reaching proximal 0.2 of merus (Fig. 5A); ventral margin with 2 small spines in proximal half. Merus with 4 ventral spines increasing in size distally. Carpus with 1 small spine at ventrolateral distal angle. Dactylus 0.7 times as long as propodus. Exopod overreaching distal margin of merus.
FIGURE 1. Amakusaxius amakusanus (Miyake & Sakai, 1967), male (cl 15.5 mm), Penghu Islands, NTOU A1349. A, carapace and cephalic appendages, lateral view (setae partially omitted); B, same, dorsal view (setae omitted); C, seventh thoracic sternite, ventral view; D, left precoxal plate on eighth thoracic sternite, lateral view; E, abdomen, telson, and left uropod, lateral view (setae partially omitted); F, left antennal peduncle, ventral view. Scale bars 5 mm for A, B, E; 2 mm for C, F; 1mm for D.
FIGURE 2. *Amakusaxius amakusanus* (Miyake & Sakai, 1967), male (cl 15.5 mm), Penghu Islands, NTOU A1349. A, anterior part of carapace and cephalic appendages, lateral view; B, same, dorsal view (setae omitted); C, first abdominal somite, dorsal view; D, telson, dorsal view (setae omitted); E, detail of posterior margin of telson, dorsal view; F, appendices interna and masculina of left second pleopod, mesial view; G, left uropod, dorsal (perpendicular) view (setae omitted). Scale bars: 2 mm for A–D, G; 1 mm for E; 0.5 mm for F.
FIGURE 3. Amakusaxius amakusana (Miyake & Sakai, 1967), male (cl 15.5 mm), Penghu Islands, NTOU A1349. A, left third maxilliped, lateral view; B, left second pereiopod, lateral view; C, left third pereiopod, lateral view; D, left fourth pereiopod, lateral view; E, right fifth pereiopod, lateral view. Scale bar: 2 mm.
FIGURE 4. Amakusaxius amakusanus (Miyake & Sakai, 1967), male (cl 15.5 mm), Penghu Islands, NTOU A1349. A, right (major) cheliped, lateral view (setae omitted); B, same, chela and carpus, mesial view (setae omitted); C, left (minor) cheliped, lateral view (setae omitted); D, same, chela and carpus, mesial view (setae omitted). Scale bar: 5 mm.

Chelipeds (Fig. 4A–D) slightly unequal and greatly dissimilar. Major cheliped (Fig. 4A, B) with coxa bearing 2 small spines on mesial margin. Basis with 1 tiny tubercle on ventral margin proximally. Ischium with 3–6 main tubercles on ventral margin becoming stronger and more acute distally. Merus with dorsal margin fairly sinuous, non-carinate, with 1 small subterminal spine; lateral surface with 2 subterminal spines aligned to dorsal spine and some tiny tubercles bearing tuft of stiff setae around subterminal spines, otherwise nearly smooth, with scattered...
very short setae, ventrodistal part forming shallow excavation to receive proximoventral part of carpus, ventrolateral distal angle with spine-like tubercle; mesial face nearly smooth; ventrolateral margin unarmed, ventromesial margin with row of 6 or 7 small spines or tubercles. Carpus much higher than long; dorsal surface with 1 or 2 main spines mesially, followed by some small tubercles; lateral surface with scattered tufts of short stiff setae and some rounded tubercles dorsally, ventrolateral distal angle with small spine; mesial surface with scattered tufts of short setae; ventral surface with 1 small spine mesially. Chela massive, 2.4 times longer than high; ventral margin slightly sinuous; broad hiatus between fingers in proximal half. Palm 1.1–1.2 times longer than high, dorsal surface non-carinate, bearing 4 or 5 small spines or tubercles and scattered small rounded tubercles, these armature partially obscured by tufts of stiff setae arising from distal base of each tubercle; lateral face convex, covered with numerous rounded tubercles of various sizes, each tubercle bearing tuft of stiff setae on distal side (some tubercles adjacent to dorsal margin somewhat enlarged, subconical; 1 subterminal tubercle proximal to base of dactylus larger than others, spine-like); ventral surface forming broad, tuberculate ridge, becoming more clearly delimited distally on fixed finger, tubercles bordering ventral ridge sometimes acute, spine-like; mesial face also with numerous tubercles of various sizes, some tubercles proximal to base of dactylus somewhat enlarged. Fixed finger with tufts of short to long setae on surfaces; blunt but distinct median carina on each lateral and mesial surfaces, extending onto base of dactylus, each carina bearing some prominent tubercles proximally; cutting edge with row of molar-like calcareous teeth. Dactylus slightly curved, 1.1 times longer than palm, tapering distally to blunt calcareous apex, with numerous tufts of short to long stiff setae on lateral and mesial surfaces; dorsal margin with 2 spines in proximal 0.8 and sharply defined keel in distal 0.8; lateral surface with median carina becoming obsolete distally and bearing 4 rounded tubercles proximally; mesial surface also with median carina becoming obsolete distally and bearing 6 rounded tubercles proximally; cutting edge with molar-like calcareous teeth.

Minor cheliped (Fig. 4C, D) much slenderer than major cheliped. Coxa bearing 2 small spines on mesial margin. Basis smooth on ventral margin proximally. Ischium with 5–7 small spines or tubercles on ventral margin increasing in size distally. Merus with dorsal margin gently sinuous, non-carinate, with 2 small spines in distal 0.3; lateral surface with 2 subterminal spines aligned to ultimate spine on dorsal margin, and some setae-bearing tubercles inferior to dorsal subterminal spines, ventrodistal part forming shallow excavation to receive proximoventral part of carpus, ventrolateral distal angle directed ventrally and terminating in acute spine; mesial face nearly smooth; ventral margin armed with row of 7 small spines increasing in size distally. Carpus higher than long; dorsal surface with 2 prominent spines mesially; lateral surface with scattered tufts of short stiff setae, ventrolateral distal angle with small submarginal spine; mesial surface with scattered tufts of short setae; ventral surface with 1 spine located distal to midlength. Chela elongate, 3.9 times longer than high; ventral margin nearly straight; narrow hiatus between fingers over entire length. Palm slightly becoming higher distally, 1.2 times longer than high, dorsal surface non-carinate, bearing 4 prominent, equidistant spines and short to long setae; lateral face gently convex, with scattered tufts of stiff setae, longitudinal row of 4 spines proximal to base of dactylus and row of 4 prominent, forwardly directed spines adjacent to ventral margin; mesial surface also gently convex, with numerous scattered tufts of short to long setae and 1 small spine proximal to base of dactylus; ventral surface forming broad ridge becoming more distinct on fixed finger. Fixed finger with tufts of short to long setae on surfaces, in particular, those adjacent to cutting edge obscuring armature; cutting edge with row of small teeth becoming obsolete distally; tip normally acuminate. Dactylus nearly straight, only slightly curved near tip, 2.4–2.5 times longer than palm, with numerous tufts of short to long stiff setae on lateral and mesial surfaces; dorsal margin non-carinate, with 2 or 3 prominent spines in proximal 0.5–0.6; cutting edge with row of small acute teeth in proximal 0.6 and faintly to microscopically denticulate in distal 0.4; tip normally acuminate.

Second pereiopod (Fig. 3B) overreaching antennal peduncle by half length of chela. Coxa unarmed, with shallow concavity on mesial face. Ischium with small ventrodistal spine. Merus with 3 spines on ventral margin, increasing in size distally. Carpus 0.9 times as long as chela; ventrodistal angle somewhat produced. Palm becoming slightly higher distally. Fingers each with row of minute corneous spinules on cutting edge; dactylus about 1.4 times longer than palm.

Third pereiopod (Fig. 3C) overreaching antennal peduncle by length of dactylus. Coxa with mesial face slightly concave. Ischium unarmed. Merus with 3 spines on ventral margin, increasing in size distally. Carpus unarmed. Propodus with 6 or 7 transverse sets of corneous spinules on lateral surface ventrally (spines becoming longer ventrally), including one on ventrodistal margin; lateral surface distally with additional 3 sets of corneous spinules superior to midline. Dactylus (Fig. 5D) half-length of propodus, gently curving, terminating in corneous
claw, with tufts of stiff setae on surfaces; lateral surface with row of 5 or 6 corneous spinules increasing in size distally; mesial surface unarmed; ventral (flexor) margin with row of minute corneous spinules in distal half.

Fourth pereiopod (Fig. 3D) slightly overreaching antennal peduncle by half-length of dactylus. Coxa with minute denticle on mesial surface. Ischium unarmed. Merus with 3 spines on ventral margin, increasing in size distally. Carpus unarmed. Propodus with 7 or 8 transverse sets of corneous spinules on lateral surface ventrally (including that on ventrodistal margin); lateral surface with additional 3 or 4 sets of corneous spinules in distal half; no development of grooming apparatus. Dactylus (Fig. 5E) about 0.4 times as long as propodus, tapering distally to corneous claw, gently curving; lateral surface with row of 7 or 8 corneous spinules increasing in size distally; mesial face unarmed; ventral (flexor) margin with row of minute corneous spinules laterally.

Fifth pereiopod (Figs 3E; 5F) semichelate with distinctly developed ventrodistal process on propodus opposed to dactylus. All segments without conspicuous spines. Propodus with obliquely longitudinal line of grooming setae distally on lateral surface (Fig. 5G); mesial face with 2 or 3 transverse sets of corneous spinules distally, ultimate set located at base of fixed finger; fixed finger rounded, margins bordered by microscopic corneous spinules. Dactylus (Fig. 5G) lanceolate, terminating in corneous claw; outer margin somewhat expanded proximally; flexor surface excavated proximally; inner margin with row of minute corneous spinules. Well developed gonopores present.

Gill formula summarized in Table 1. Podobranchs on third maxilliped to third pereiopod prominent, but devoid of lamellae.

FIGURE 5. Amakusaxius amakusanus (Miyake & Sakai, 1967), A–E, G, male (cl 15.5 mm), Penghu Islands, NTOU A1349; F, ovigerous female (cl 13.6 mm), same lot. A, ischium of left third maxilliped, ventral view, showing crista dentata; B, ventral margin of ischium and merus of right (major) cheliped (setae omitted), showing armature; C, close up of tubercles on lateral surface of major cheliped, showing setation; D, distal part of propodus and dactylus of left third pereiopod, lateral view (setae omitted); E, distal part of propodus and dactylus of left fourth pereiopod, lateral view (setae omitted); F, propodus and dactylus of left fifth pereiopod, mesial view; G, distal part of propodus and dactylus of right fifth pereiopod, lateral view. Scale bars: 2 mm for B; 1 mm for C–G; 0.5 mm for A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maxillipeds</th>
<th>Pereiopods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurobranchs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthrobranchs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podobranchs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epipods</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exopods</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First pleopod absent in males; female first pleopod uniramous, 2-articulated, protopod about 0.3 length of ramus. Second to fifth pleopods moderately narrow, each with well-developed appendix interna. Appendix masculina on second pleopod slightly longer than appendix interna, rod-like, with numerous setae on apex to dorsal margin (Fig. 2F).

Uropod (Fig. 2G) with protopod subtriangular, with deep notch on posterior margin to accommodate proximodorsal knob of exopod. Endopod narrow; lateral margin slightly concave, with distinctly delimited proximal angle; mesial margin gently convex, with peak proximal to midlength, bearing row of minute corneous spines; lateral carina on dorsal surface distinct, with 2 prominent spines in posterior half and produced posterolaterally in strong spine; middorsal carina also distinctly delimited, with 4 or 5 prominent spines, including posterior marginal one. Exopod exceeding beyond endopod; lateral margin convex, with 5 or 6 prominent spines and sometimes interspersing spines; mesial margin slightly convex, with row of minute corneous, movable spines in posterior half; distal suture oblique, bearing 5 spines increasing in size mesially; movable spine just lateral to terminal flap very strong, slightly curved laterally; terminal flap small, subsemicircular; dorsolateral carina with 4 or 5 prominent spines; middorsal carina arcuate, unarmed, terminating posteriorly in spine in series on distal suture; proximodorsal knob terminating in spine.

**FIGURE 6.** *Amakusaxius amakusanus* (Miyake & Sakai, 1967), male (cl 12.0 mm), Penghu Islands, NTOU A01351.
**Coloration.** Body generally reddish pink (Fig. 6). Dorsal carapace mostly yellowish. Abdomen with dorsal surface with mosaic of white and reddish pink color, ventral margin of pleura with thick white bands, hinges between somites and spines on tail fan somewhat whitish. Eyes blackish brown. Antennular and antennal flagella pale yellowish. First pereiopods with chelae and carpi dorsally orangish and ventrally pale pink to whitish on lateral side and pale yellowish on mesial side, proximal segments reddish pink. Posterior pereiopods reddish pink with hinges somewhat pale colored. Eggs orangish.

**Distribution.** Japan and Taiwan; at depths of 20–50 m.

**Remarks.** The holotype female is still in good condition, though the right major cheliped is missing, as Miyake & Sakai (1967) mentioned. Although the six specimens from Taiwan are all larger than the holotype, they agree well with the latter and there is little doubt that they are conspecific.

The gill formula reexamined is different from that of Miyake & Sakai (1967: 307), but the discrepancy is due to the different interpretation of the structure of the epipods and podobranchs on the third maxilliped and pereiopods. Miyake & Sakai (1967) counted the numbers of epipods on each third maxilliped to third pereiopods as two, but this is an error. The anterior branches on those epipods actually represent podobranchs devoid of gill lamellae (e.g., Poore 1994; Komai 2000).
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